

14 November 2025

## MORCK WELL ROCK CHIP SAMPLING RESULTS

### Highlights

- Results received for 34 rock chip samples collected from the Jacques and Frenchy's South gold prospects within the Morck Well Project, WA.
- A maximum result of 0.88g/t Au returned from the Jacques gold prospect.
- Results from the Jacques prospect support the interpreted variable and nuggety nature of the gold mineralisation associated with quartz veining.

Gold and Base Metals explorer **Auris Minerals Limited** ("Auris" or "the Company") (ASX: AUR) would like to inform the market that analytical gold results have been received from recent rock chip sampling completed at the Company's Morck Well Project, located 130km north of Meekatharra in the Bryah Basin, Western Australia.


A total of 34 rock chip samples were collected from the Jacques and Frenchy's prospect areas during September 2025. All results have now been received with a maximum result of 0.88g/t Au from the Jacques gold prospect. Results returned from the sampling is summarised in Table 1.

### Jacques Gold Prospect Sampling Summary:

Shallow, high grade gold mineralisation within quartz veining has been intersected previously within historical RC drilling and rock chip sampling at the Jacques gold prospect. The gold mineralisation is interpreted to trend for over a 370m strike extent and a dip extent of approximately 100m.

A total of 19 rock chip samples were collected to further evaluate the mineralisation along the strike extent. A maximum result of 0.88 g/t Au was received. All rock chip sample locations are depicted on Figure 1.

Results returned from the rock chip sampling support the interpreted nuggetty and variable nature of gold mineralisation at the Jacques prospect.




**Figure 1. Drilling and Geochemistry Summary Plan – Jacques Gold Prospect  
(ASX Announcements 15 January 2013 and 7 March 2013)**

**Frenchy's South Gold Prospect Sampling Summary:**

A 1.4km gold anomalous trend immediately to the south and west of the excised Frenchy's mining lease, has been interpreted from completed drilling at the Frenchy's South gold prospect.

A total of 15 rock chip samples were collected to further evaluate potential strike extensions. No significant results were received. All sample locations are depicted on Figure 2.



**Figure 2. Drilling and Geochemistry Summary Plan – Frenchy's South Gold Prospect  
(ASX Announcements 16 April 2019 and 8 August 2023)**

The Company plans to review the latest results in conjunction with historical results to determine whether further exploration is warranted in order to fully evaluate the gold potential at the Jaques and Frenchy's South prospects.

-ENDS-


For and on behalf of the Board.

Mike Hendriks  
Managing Director

For Further information please contact:  
Mike Hendriks  
Managing Director  
Ph: 08 6109 4333

Auris is exploring for base metals and gold in the Bryah Basin of Western Australia. Auris has consolidated a tenement portfolio of 245km<sup>2</sup>, which is divided into three well-defined project areas: Forrest, Doolgunna and Morck Well, (Figure 1).

Auris manages exploration on all tenements, including those that are subject to arrangements with third parties.



**Figure 3: Auris' copper-gold exploration tenement portfolio, with Westgold (WGX) and CuFe Ltd JV areas indicated**

**Notes:**

1. The Forrest Project tenement R52/10 has the following outside interests
  - Auris 80%; Westgold Resources Ltd 20% (ASX:WGX). Westgold Resources Ltd interest is free carried until a Decision to Mine
  - Westgold Resources Ltd own the gold rights over the Auris interest
2. The Morck Well Project tenements E51/1033 and E52/1672 have the following outside interests:
  - Auris 80%; CuFe Ltd 20% (ASX:CUF). CuFe Ltd interest is free carried until a Decision to Mine

Table 1 – Morck Well Rock Chip Sampling Results

Prospect	Sample Number	Easting (GDA94_50)	Northing (GDA94_50)	Au (ppm)	Cu (ppm)	Pb (ppm)	Zn (ppm)	Mn (ppm)	As (ppm)
Frenchy's South	RNI028463	707111.185	7142835.104	0.04	33	49	48	650	19
Frenchy's South	RNI028464	707156.734	7142885.382	0.01	36	52	32	93	16
Frenchy's South	RNI028465	707074.775	7142859.929	<0.01	<5	26	7	36	11
Frenchy's South	RNI028466	707027.884	7142848.684	<0.01	7	78	9	37	24
Frenchy's South	RNI028467	707040.28	7142810.301	<0.01	15	35	7	195	10
Frenchy's South	RNI028468	706992.591	7142767.987	<0.01	14	198	12	66	44
Frenchy's South	RNI028469	706974.956	7142723.23	<0.01	45	75	18	133	13
Frenchy's South	RNI028470	706994.625	7142592.646	<0.01	<5	484	9	42	74
Frenchy's South	RNI028471	706896.863	7142715.38	<0.01	16	227	10	<10	38
Frenchy's South	RNI028472	706960.413	7142791.673	<0.01	22	118	16	41	31
Frenchy's South	RNI028473	705196.685	7142885.379	<0.01	12	44	6	78	11
Frenchy's South	RNI028474	705147.161	7142896.559	<0.01	<5	218	<5	<10	44
Frenchy's South	RNI028475	705377.454	7142617.508	<0.01	12	142	13	53	14
Frenchy's South	RNI028476	705406.51	7142764.409	<0.01	39	274	38	248	40
Frenchy's South	RNI028477	705456.284	7142853.295	<0.01	19	272	16	439	35
Jacques	RNI028478	709675.749	7153234.546	<0.01	<5	29	<5	<10	6
Jacques	RNI028479	709675.274	7153277.381	<0.01	473	106	179	3169	192
Jacques	RNI028480	709546.802	7153247.49	0.16	16	37	19	113	14
Jacques	RNI028481	709553.774	7153189.044	<0.01	10	28	8	55	8
Jacques	RNI028482	709524.548	7153174.04	<0.01	10	35	19	115	15
Jacques	RNI028483	709376.794	7153173.231	<0.01	14	26	9	<10	10
Jacques	RNI028484	709538.708	7153088.956	<0.01	17	57	21	228	19
Jacques	RNI028485	709523.889	7153062.781	<0.01	17	111	16	87	27
Jacques	RNI028486	709515.918	7153051.975	0.02	17	28	10	<10	10
Jacques	RNI028487	709516.889	7153024.96	<0.01	<5	45	9	91	13
Jacques	RNI028488	709611.218	7153085.533	<0.01	17	49	21	95	12
Jacques	RNI028489	709488.864	7152976.324	0.04	14	28	8	79	12
Jacques	RNI028490	709480.909	7152967.438	0.88	8	26	7	73	9
Jacques	RNI028491	709469.967	7152957.537	0.30	11	37	14	81	10
Jacques	RNI028492	709455.486	7152942.781	0.17	<5	31	9	47	10
Jacques	RNI028493	709447.5	7152922.739	<0.01	9	26	8	<10	9
Jacques	RNI028494	709524.686	7152878.861	<0.01	175	102	73	161	159
Jacques	RNI028495	709592.089	7152918.828	<0.01	<5	29	7	<10	13
Jacques	RNI028496	709579.28	7152961.229	<0.01	65	76	86	218	29

**Competent Person's Statement**

Information in this announcement that relates to exploration results is based on and fairly represents information and supporting documentation prepared and compiled by Mr Matthew Svensson, who is a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr Svensson is Exploration Manager for Auris Minerals Limited. Mr Svensson has sufficient experience, which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration, and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person, as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Svensson consents to the inclusion in the announcement of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

**No New Information**

Except where explicitly stated, this announcement contains references to prior exploration results and Mineral Resource estimates, all of which have been cross-referenced to previous market announcements made by the Company. The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the relevant market announcements and, in the case of estimates of Mineral Resources that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the results and/or estimates in the relevant market announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed.

**Forward Looking Statements**

This announcement has been prepared by Auris Minerals Limited. This document contains background information about Auris Minerals Limited and its related entities current at the date of this announcement. This is in summary form and does not purport to be all inclusive or complete. Recipients should conduct their own investigations and perform their own analysis in order to satisfy themselves as to the accuracy and completeness of the information, statements and opinions contained in this announcement. This announcement is for information purposes only. Neither this document nor the information contained in it constitutes an offer, invitation, solicitation or recommendation in relation to the purchase or sale of shares in any jurisdiction.

This announcement may not be distributed in any jurisdiction except in accordance with the legal requirements applicable in such jurisdiction. Recipients should inform themselves of the restrictions that apply in their own jurisdiction. A failure to do so may result in a violation of securities laws in such jurisdiction. This document does not constitute investment advice and has been prepared without taking into account the recipient's investment objectives, financial circumstances or particular needs and the opinions and recommendations in this representation are not intended to represent recommendations of particular investments to particular investments to particular persons. Recipients should seek professional advice when deciding if an investment is appropriate. All securities transactions involve risks, which include (among others) the risk of adverse or unanticipated market, financial or political developments.

No responsibility for any errors or omissions from this document arising out of negligence or otherwise is accepted. This document does include forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are only predictions and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions which are outside the control of Auris Minerals Limited. Actual values, results, outcomes or events may be materially different to those expressed or implied in this announcement. Given these uncertainties, recipients are cautioned not to place reliance on forward-looking statements.

Any forward-looking statements in this announcement speak only at the date of issue of this announcement. Subject to any continuing obligations under applicable law and ASX Listing Rules, Auris Minerals Limited does not undertake any obligation to update or revise any information or any of the forward-looking statements in this document or any changes in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such forward-looking statement is based.

## JORC Code, 2012 Edition, Table 1

## Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A geologist was in the field undertaking the sampling</li> <li>All rock chip samples comprised random chip samples covering a strike extent of no more than a 2m.</li> <li>Standard sampling protocols/procedures have been written to ensure all sampling is done properly and consistently.</li> </ul>
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No drilling undertaken.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No drilling undertaken.</li> </ul>
<b>Logging</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No drilling undertaken.</li> </ul>
<b>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No drilling undertaken.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All samples are submitted to the ALS Laboratory in Perth for gold analysis by gold only analysis via fire assay (Au-AA26) to a detection limit of 0.01ppm.</li> <li>pXRF analysis was completed on all samples for all other reported elements. Detection limits as per following – Cu (5ppm), Pb (5ppm), Zn (5ppm), Mn (35ppm), As (5ppm).</li> <li>These are appropriate methods of analysis/assay for regional exploration drilling of VMS and orogenic gold-type mineralisation in the weathering environment.</li> <li>Quality control samples include certified reference materials (CRMs) or standards (of an appropriate low level of contained copper and gold), sourced from OREAS, quartz sand used as a blank, and field duplicate samples. At least one QC sample is added every 20 samples in a batch.</li> </ul>
<b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All logs and analytical data reports are validated and reviewed by the database managers prior to import.</li> </ul>
<b>Location of data points</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All samples are located via GPS with an estimated accuracy of <math>\pm 5</math> metres.</li> <li>Grid is Map Grid of Australia Zone 50.</li> </ul>
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sample spacing is determined by outcrop /subcrop locations</li> </ul>
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No drilling undertaken.</li> </ul>
<b>Sample security</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate security measures are taken to ensure the chain of custody between drill rig and laboratory. Samples are stored in a secure location until they are transported to the laboratory by a Auris employee.</li> </ul>
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other geologists and experts are consulted, as required, from time to time</li> </ul>

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a license to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Morck Well and Feather Cap Projects are located 130 kilometres north of Meekatharra in WA.</li> <li>Auris' tenements and interests in the Bryah Basin are discussed in the "ABOUT AURIS MINERAL LIMITED" section after announcement text.</li> <li>There are no issues present relating to the security of the above tenements.</li> </ul>
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Previous exploration within the target areas at the Morck Well comprising air core drilling completed by Auris and/or Sandfire orientated towards copper and gold exploration.</li> <li>Various parties have explored and/or mined in the Bryah Basin (including Homestake Australia, Cyprus Gold, Dominion Mining, Mines &amp; Resources Australia, Perilya and Montezuma Mining). Prior to the De Grussa Cu-Au discovery in 2009, the exploration target was almost exclusively gold. PepinNini Minerals (PML) farmed into some tenements to secure iron ore rights.</li> </ul>
<b>Geology</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Morck Well Project lies within the Proterozoic-aged Bryah rift basin enclosed between the Archaean Marymia Inlier to the north and the Proterozoic Yerrida basin to the south.</li> <li>The exploration targets in the Projects are Volcanogenic Massive Sulphide (VMS) deposits and orogenic gold deposits.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill hole information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No drilling undertaken.</li> <li>All location data for the samples is included in Table 1.</li> </ul>
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No drilling undertaken.</li> <li>Any result returned over 0.1g/t Au, 0.1% Cu, 0.1% Pb, 0.1 Zn and/or 0.1% Pb is interpreted as anomalous.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p>and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No drilling undertaken.</li> </ul>
<b>Diagrams</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Relevant diagrams have been included within the main body of the announcement.</li> </ul>
<b>Balanced Reporting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sample locations are located with a handheld GPS unit with an applied error of up to 5 metres.</li> </ul>
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples - size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No other exploration data reported.</li> </ul>
<b>Further work</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A review of the Jacques and Frenchy's South gold prospects is planned to determine whether further exploration is warranted.</li> </ul>