23/01/2024

MANJIMUP PROJECT - HISTORIC PEGMATITE OCCURRENCES AND LITHIUM POTENTIAL (AMENDED)

Taruga Minerals Limited (ASX: **TAR**, **Taruga** or the **Company**) releases this amended announcement on "Manjimup Project – Historic Pegmatite Occurrences and Lithium Potential", dated 17 January 2024.

The update includes additional tables in relation to historic geochemical sampling and drilling undertaken across the Manjimup project areas and expanded information contained within the JORC Table 1.

17/01/2024

MANJIMUP PROJECT - HISTORIC PEGMATITE OCCURRENCES AND LITHIUM POTENTIAL

Highlights

- **Pegmatites logged in historic drilling by BHP at ELA70/5031 (5km south of Nannup)***
- **Numerous prospects identified with elevated levels of coincident tin, tantalum and niobium - often pathfinder minerals for LCT style pegmatites**
- **Exploration licence application areas lie on the highly prospective Donnybrook-Bridgetown shear zone which hosts the world-class Greenbushes lithium mine, plus several major fault zones**

Taruga Minerals Limited (ASX: **TAR**, **Taruga** or the **Company**) is pleased to present an update on the Company's Manjimup Project in South-West of Western Australia. The Manjimup Project comprises 3 exploration licence applications totalling 460km^2, located ~ 35km to the south of one of the largest and high-grade lithium mines in the world, Greenbushes. Taruga has undertaken a detailed review of available historical data, with a focus on the lithium potential and occurrences of pegmatites and LCT pegmatite pathfinder minerals in the project area.



Figure 1: Project Location Map (inc. major faults and Donnybrook-Bridgetown shear zone)

+ ***Cautionary Statement:*** *The Company notes that pegmatites contain varying abundances of typical LCT pegmatite non-Li-bearing minerals, predominantly feldspar, quartz, muscovite mica (as a group also referred to as Aplite) and accessory tourmaline. Investors should note that while LCT pegmatites are a known host for accessory lithium bearing minerals such as spodumene, it is also known that this is not a universal association. Visual observations of the presence of rock or mineral types and abundance should never be considered a proxy or substitute for petrography and laboratory analyses where mineral types, concentrations or grades are the factor of principal economic interest. Visual observations and estimates also potentially provide no information regarding impurities or deleterious physical properties relevant to valuations. At this stage it is too early for the Company to make a determinative view on the abundances of any of these minerals. These abundances will be determined more accurately through petrography, assay, and XRF analysis. The observed presence of pegmatite does not necessarily equate to lithium mineralisation. It is not possible to estimate the concentration of mineralisation by visual estimation and this will be determined by chemical analysis.*

Background

Taruga's previous historic data review had focused on base metals and the potential for sulphide mineralisation, largely due to the close proximity of the Eastern licence to the "Thor" and "Odin" prospects currently being explored by Chalice Mining (JV with Venture Minerals).

However, recent exploration activities in the area have also been targeting pegmatite occurrences with a focus on the Greenbushes-style tin-tantalum lithium potential. Upon completion of its extended evaluation of historic data, Taruga has identified several areas of interest for hosting pegmatites and potential lithium mineralisation.



Figure 2: Projects with underlying magnetics image.



Figure 3: Taruga's Southwest project with underlying radiometric (potassium (K)) image.

Exploration History

Previous exploration in the project area has been affected by a thick laterite profile which hampers basic reconnaissance and masks geochemistry. However, the use of modern geophysical methods including high-resolution airborne magnetic and radiometric data to generate potential pegmatite targets is highly useful. The subsequent soil sampling of targets under laterites, using lower detection limit geochemistry analysis methods, in combination with select ground geophysical techniques, is then useful in supporting the advancement of valid drill targets for potential lithium-bearing pegmatites.

Western Permit (ELA70/5031)

BHP explored the Darling Fault for gold, expanding from the historical Donnybrook Goldfields down to the south of Nannup along the Darling Fault. As part of the work program, BHP carried out magnetic surveys, stream sediment, soils and drilling along target areas. Within Taruga's western tenement application area (ELA70/5031), BHP tested epithermal quartz veining and related structures.

Two drillholes DP40 and DP41 were collared within the project area, testing an outcropping siliceous zone within a structure. **BHP's reporting included drill logs which noted pegmatites intercepted in drilling (36-37m and EOH)** along with alteration assemblages of quartz, green mica and plagioclase that may include weathered pegmatites across a broad interval in section.



Figure 4: Cross section of BHP gold drilling (1987) intersecting pegmatites in DP41.

A mineral sands focussed BHP exploration report was also identified by Taruga that outlines drilling carried out predominantly on the western Phanerozoic sediments of the Perth Basin, but which also included drilling east of the Darling Fault into basement rocks of the Balingup Metamorphic Belt (which hosts the Greenbushes Mine). The BHP mineral sands exploration program included eleven (11) holes drilled within the western tenement area approximately five (5) kilometres south of the previously mentioned BHP gold target and drillholes. The mineral sands geochemistry report included lithium results for seven (7) of the holes, of which, two (2) holes appear to have low-level but elevated lithium results compared to expected background levels. The subtle lithium anomalism from within the deeply weathered profile identified quartz and mica, and provides encouragement that a lithium bearing pegmatite might be proximal to the area.

In the southern portion of the western tenement application area (ELA70/5031) is the **Crooked Brook prospect**. Saprolite layers appear to cover much of the prospect area. Historical surface laterite sampling and mineral sands focussed drilling was completed in the area by Pancontinental Mining. The surface laterite sampling contained what appear to be elevated tin, tantalum and niobium (Sn, Ta, Nb). The 1992 and 1995 annual reporting discussion stated that the infill laterite sampling was completed with the conclusion that the zone of tin, niobium and tantalum anomalism could represent the presence of a pegmatite in the immediate area.

Recent exploration and drilling by Kula Gold to the north of the Western permit and along strike of the Darling Fault has identified a 3.2km by 500m wide pegmatite called the Cobra prospect.

Central Permit (ELA70/5030)

Support for pegmatite exploration in Taruga's central tenement application area is encouraged by the fact that to the north (~ 5 kilometres) are the Smithfield and Willow Springs prospects. These prospects are known to have had tin and tantalum mineralisation within recorded pegmatites up to 35m wide, with small-scale mining occurring at various periods from 1907. The confirmation of outcropping pegmatites nearby along with conducive structures and shear zones (Donnybrook-Bridgetown shear zone) extending through central application areas provides encouragement for future exploration and lithium potential.



Figure 5: Outcropping pegmatites exist to the north of the central permit.

Eastern Permit (ELA70/5029)

The **Yerraminup prospect** within the eastern tenement application area (ELA70/5029) is a known tin-tantalum occurrence, with a peak recording of 700ppm tin in surface geochemical sampling records. The area is predominantly Archean meta-gabbroic rocks covered in the most part by laterite and bounded by granites in the south-east and north-west. The Western Australia Rock database of field observation sites (WAROX) has a site noted as pegmatite at the Yerraminup prospect location, this is yet to be field verified. Tin, tantalum and lithium are potential future target commodities within this prospect.

Regional Geology

The Manjimup project area is in the Southwest Terrane of the Yilgarn Craton, making up the southwestern corner of the craton. The Yilgarn craton is bounded on its western margin by the Darling Fault, the southern margin of the craton is the Manjimup Fault, running east-west. The basement rocks are predominantly Balingup Metamorphic Belt along with a series of granitoid intrusives. The Balingup Metamorphic Belt has limited exposure, being largely obscured by tertiary sediments and laterite. The Taruga project areas western and central tenements are predominantly quartz-feldspar-biotite gneiss and to a lesser degree quartz-mica schist whilst the eastern tenement is predominantly migmatite and granitic rocks. Mafic and ultramafic intrusions are present throughout the project area.

The main pegmatite body at the world class Greenbushes lithium mine strikes NNW with a strike length of approximately 3km and width of 300m. The pegmatites that constitute the Greenbushes Mine appear to have intruded during shearing whilst also being affected by subsequent deformation and/or hydrothermal recrystallisation. The principal country rock enclosing the pegmatites include gneisses, ultramafic schist, and coarse-grained amphibolite.

Base Metals potential

Taruga's previous historic data review had focused on base metals and the potential for sulphide mineralisation (magmatic Ni-Cu-PGE and VHMS style), largely due to the close proximity of the Eastern license to the "Thor" and "Odin" prospects currently being explored by Chalice Minerals (JV with Venture Minerals). The Ni-Cu-PGE style mineralisation in the area is hosted within mafic/ultramafic intrusions, which also feature in Taruga's eastern permit application (ELA70/5029).

Recent exploration by Chalice Mining (ASX release 24/03/2023) near the Odin prospect has identified a new magmatic Ni, Cu-PGE target called "Target 4" which exists in the very NE corner of permit E70/4837 which adjoins the Eastern permit currently held by Taruga.

The prospective VHMS style mineralisation is found at the Kingsley and Jack prospects 2.5km's east of the central tenement (ELA70/5030) with predominantly pyrrhotite with pyrite, arsenopyrite, galena and sphalerite. VHMS mineralisation can be massive or disseminated and is hosted within meta sedimentary sequences associated with felsic volcanics.

Next Steps

Taruga is progressing its applications towards grant, noting that the Southwest area contains a high level of land use (e.g. farming, state forest) and requires careful consideration. Taruga will continue its assessment and review process with a near term focus on the lithium potential in the known pegmatites and the potential for additional pegmatites in the area.

This announcement was approved by the Board of Taruga Minerals Limited.

For more information contact:

David Chapman

Technical Director

+61 8 9486 4036

Competent person's statement

The information in this report that relates to exploration results is based on, and fairly represents information and supporting documentation prepared by Mr Brent Laws, a Competent Person who is a Member of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Laws is the Exploration Manager of Taruga Minerals Limited. Mr Laws has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resource and Ore Reserves". Mr Laws consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on their information in the form and context in which it appears.

Cautionary Statement

The Company notes that pegmatites contain varying abundances of typical LCT pegmatite non-Li-bearing minerals, predominantly feldspar, quartz, muscovite mica (as a group also referred to as Aplite) and accessory tourmaline. Investors should note that while LCT pegmatites are a known host for accessory lithium bearing minerals such as spodumene, it is also known that this is not a universal association. Visual observations of the presence of rock or mineral types and abundance should never be considered a proxy or substitute for petrography and laboratory analyses where mineral types, concentrations or grades are the factor of principal economic interest.

Visual observations and estimates also potentially provide no information regarding impurities or deleterious physical properties relevant to valuations. At this stage it is too early for the Company to make a determinative view on the abundances of any of these minerals. These abundances will be determined more accurately through petrography, assay, and XRF analysis. The observed presence of pegmatite does not necessarily equate to lithium mineralisation. It is not possible to estimate the concentration of mineralisation by visual estimation and this will be determined by chemical analysis.

Table 1: Historical BHP drilling information (*Survey data converted to GDA94 zone 50)

Hole ID	Drill Type	Easting*	Northing*	Elevation (m)	Azimuth	Dip	Depth (m)
DP40	Percussion	388638	6226646	205	270	-60	81
DP41	Percussion	388613	6226646	207	270	-60	51
NP300	NQ Aircore	387575	6221624	239	0	-90	18
NP301	NQ Aircore	389328	6221161	166	0	-90	6
NP302	NQ Aircore	389295	6222570	224	0	-90	27
NP303	NQ Aircore	388383	6221998	176	0	-90	3
NP304	NQ Aircore	387207	6220569	202	0	-90	33
NP305	NQ Aircore	387927	6219738	165	0	-90	10
NP306	NQ Aircore	386100	6220733	149	0	-90	21
NP308	NQ Aircore	386008	6222340	139	0	-90	45

Table 2: Historical BHP drilling information – simplified drillhole logging

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Rock Code	Description
DP40	0	29	CYA	Altered and weathered country rock (felsic gneiss? or pegmatite?)
DP40	29	44	BSK	Silicified country rock (felsic gneiss)
DP40	44	56	QVE	Epithermal quartz vein
DP40	56	70	BSK	Silicified country rock (felsic gneiss)
DP40	70	81	FG	Felsic gneiss
DP41	0	9	QVE	Epithermal quartz vein
DP41	9	35	CYA	Altered and weathered country rock (felsic gneiss? or pegmatite?)
DP41	35	36	FG	Felsic gneiss
DP41	36	37	PEG	Pegmatite
DP41	37	38	FG/PEG	Felsic gneiss and Pegmatite
DP41	38	41	FG	Felsic gneiss
DP41	41	45	MY	Zone of strong fracturing (felsic gneiss)
DP41	45	50	FG	Felsic gneiss
DP41	50	51	FG/PEG	Felsic gneiss and Pegmatite

Table 3: Historical BHP drilling information – downhole geochemical assay results

Drill Type	Hole ID	Sample ID	From (m)	To (m)	Li (ppm)	Sn (ppm)	Ta (ppm)	Cr (ppm)	Fe (%)	Ni (ppm)
Aircore	NP300	EI9531	0	3	10	3	5	309	4.42	13
Aircore	NP300	EI9536	15	18	23	3	5	252	3.97	13
Aircore	NP301	EI9539	3	6	13	28	5	382	5.1	181
Aircore	NP302	EI9549	24	27	9	30	5	254	1.54	16
Aircore	NP303	EI9551	0	3	14	3	5	268	3.08	15
Aircore	NP304	EI9562	30	33	24	15	5	342	4.9	21
Aircore	NP304	EI9552	0	3	14	3	5	183	2.32	22
Aircore	NP305	EI9564	0	3	13	3	5	97	9.05	16
Aircore	NP305	EI9567	9	10	14	3	5	100	9.04	15
Aircore	NP306	EI9569	0	3	9	4	5	164	11.81	7
Aircore	NP306	EI9575	18	21	8	1	5	93	5.38	34
Aircore	NP307	EI9585	24	27	6	1	5	117	7.6	43
Aircore	NP308	EI9586	0	45	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Table 4: Historical Pancontinental laterite geochemical assay results (*Survey data converted to GDA94 zone 50)

Sample Type	Sample ID	Easting	Northing	Nb (ppm)	Sn (ppm)	Ta (ppm)
Laterite	M39145	387248	6194763	20	5	2
Laterite	M39146	389425	6194313	35	5	2
Laterite	M39147	389294	6193709	30	5	2
Laterite	M39148	392923	6189520	20	5	2

Sample Type	Sample ID	Easting	Northing	Nb (ppm)	Sn (ppm)	Ta (ppm)
Laterite	M39149	392337	6189397	25	<5	2
Laterite	M39150	391114	6189631	25	<5	2
Laterite	M39151	391569	6190274	20	<5	2
Laterite	M39152	390518	6190277	25	5	10
Laterite	M39153	389209	6191447	45	5	4
Laterite	M39154	389123	6192634	30	5	2
Laterite	M39155	389674	6191943	50	5	2
Laterite	M39156	389451	6190410	35	5	2
Laterite	M39157	388489	6192073	15	<5	2
Laterite	M39158	388072	6192833	35	5	2
Laterite	M39159	387396	6193780	30	<5	2
Laterite	M39160	387830	6194465	15	5	2
Laterite	M39161	388132	6194298	20	5	2
Laterite	M39162	384832	6205943	25	5	2
Laterite	M39164	388551	6193808	85	10	6
Laterite	Q40621	388704	6192479	10	<5	2
Laterite	Q40622	388856	6193306	30	5	2
Laterite	Q40623	388297	6193406	55	10	2
Laterite	Q40624	387937	6193874	65	5	10
Laterite	Q40625	389485	6193125	20	5	2
Laterite	Q40626	390327	6192899	20	5	2
Laterite	Q40627	389809	6193806	35	10	2
Laterite	Q40628	388877	6194233	35	5	2
Laterite	Q40629	389347	6192486	35	5	2
Laterite	Q40630	389258	6190737	35	5	2
Laterite	Q40631	389062	6192020	10	5	2
Laterite	Q40632	390031	6192451	20	5	2
Laterite	Q40633	390678	6190737	15	<5	2
Laterite	Q40634	390024	6189822	15	5	2

Table 5: Historical geochem sampling results Yerraminup prospect (*Survey data converted to GDA94 zone 50, NR – No Result available)

	Sample ID	Easting	Northing	As (ppm)	Cr (ppm)	Cu (ppm)	Ni (ppm)	Sn (ppm)	TiO2 (ppm)	Zn (ppm)
Surface Line 1	P167167	440438	6220510	20	NR	610	80	700	3450	190
Surface Line 1	P167169	440398	6220510	NR	NR	350	80	570	3850	NR
Surface Line 1	P167177	440238	6220510	NR	NR	495	NR	70	6200	NR
Surface Line 1	P167178	440218	6220510	NR	NR	570	NR	30	7600	NR
Surface Line 2	P167180	440738	6221811	NR	NR	740	NR	10	6100	NR

	Sample ID	Easting	Northing	As (ppm)	Cr (ppm)	Cu (ppm)	Ni (ppm)	Sn (ppm)	TiO2 (ppm)	Zn (ppm)
Surface Line 2	P167182	440698	6221811	NR	570	85	45	10	18800	NR
Surface Line 2	P167184	440658	6221811	NR	115	560	NR	40	5400	135
Surface Line 2	P167185	440638	6221811	NR	135	60	NR	30	2700	295
Surface Line 3	P167186	440518	6222121	70	385	50	NR	40	17300	125
Surface Line 3	P167187	440498	6222121	60	315	35	NR	40	27300	90
Surface Line 3	P167188	440478	6222121	20	40	310	NR	60	13500	90
Surface Line 3	P167189	440458	6222121	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	• *Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.* • *Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.* • *Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.* • *In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.*	No new data is being reported on in this document. Historical sampling includes: Air Drilling - including percussion and aircore drilling techniques in which a sample is collected for each 1m downhole interval. Subsequent 3m downhole composite samples may be generated and used for geochemical analysis to provide a broader assessment. Historical reporting indicates samples were split to a 1kg sample weight prior to submission for geochemical analysis. No indication of splitting technique was disclosed, although given the time period riffle splitting is likely. Laterite or soil sampling – Laterite sampling is effectively soil sampling with material collected at or just below the ground surface. In considering the historical soil samples the surficial cover, transported and residual laterite needs to be considered. No additional details of sampling technique were available. Geochemical surface sampling was reporting in the EL 70/1429 Annual Report for 1996. 3 lines of 4 samples were taken with Line 1 samples P167167 and P167169 taken near the reported Yerraminup prospect location. No indication was given in historical reporting of sampling technique.
Drilling techniques	• *Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).*	Historical drilling - Gold targeting used percussion drilling whilst mineral sand targeting utilised aircore drilling techniques. Drill bit size was not reported for percussion drilling whilst an aircore report indicated a NQ size bit (approximately 47mm diameter). Pancontinental Mining drilled for mineral sands within the EL area. The reported aircore drilling was to the south of the Crooked Brook prospect, the reported drill sample analysis was relevant for mineral sands heavy

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		mineral exploration and reporting did not include elements relevant to exploring for pegmatites and lithium.
Drill sample recovery	• *Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results asses* • *Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.* • *Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.*	BHP percussion drilling - samples taken every metre and split to produce a 1kg sample for geochemical analysis. Historical geological logging appears sufficiently complete in order to describe each interval, historical geochemical assays from this drilling isn't relevant tot this report. BHP aircore drilling - samples taken every metre, 3m composites combined, and split to produce a 1kg sample for geochemical analysis. Historical drillhole logging appears sufficient to describe each interval. Any major sample recovery issues were not noted.
Logging	• *Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.* • *Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.* • *The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.*	Historical drill chip logging. Drill logs are often hand written and scanned records. Geological descriptions were sufficient to outline the lithology intersected in the context of the drill program objectives. Any interpretation of minerals identified and possible rock type is based on historical logging and has not been verified in the field or from stored sample. The logging and geochemical assessment of samples is insufficient to support any level of Mineral Resource estimation.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	• *If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.* • *If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.* • *For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.* • *Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.* • *Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.* • *Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.*	Techniques and quality controls were not described in detail in available historical reporting. Percussion/aircore samples were dried and split to 1kg sub-samples. For aircore samples composited to 3m intervals, samples were dried, combined then split to 1kg sub-samples. Splitting method likely to be riffle split but is unknown. The sample types appear appropriate for the commodity and exploratory nature in which it was intended. Reporting does not outline the use or effectiveness of duplicates in drilling or laterite/soil sampling.
Quality of assay data	• *The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered*	Standard laboratory techniques appear to have been reported as used for assay results. A dried sample is split to a 1kg sample that is

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
and laboratory tests	*partial or total.* • *For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.* • *Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.*	pulverised and analysed. Analysis techniques include fire assay for Au and Pd with a ICPMS finish, whilst other elements were analysed after a mixed acid digest with a ICPMS finish. For Ta analysis utilised pressed powder XRF. For lithium it included a mixed acid digest and ICPMS finish and a 1ppm detection limit. Full results are available in wamex report A42704. Other element analysis included Au, Pd, Cu, Pb, Zn, Ag, As, Bi, Cr, Fe, Mg, Mn, Mo, Nd, Ni, Sb, Sn, Ta, Ti, W, Y, Zr. Some relevant element results are tabulated in the report alongside Li. Not all sampled downhole intervals were assayed, select intervals of assays only reported and available. Pancontinental laterite geochemical analysis full results are available in wamex report A38552. Element analysis included Au, Cu, Pb, Zn, Ag, As, Bi, Cr, Fe, Mo, Nb, Sb, Sn, Ta, W. Some relevant element results are tabulated in the report. The use of duplicates, standards and blanks in geochemical assay submissions although likely is not readily reported other than minor commentary in historical documentation.
Verification of sampling and assaying	• *The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.* • *The use of twinned holes.* • *Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.* • *Discuss any adjustment to assay data.*	All information presented in this report is based on historical documentation, no physical drill chips appear available for assessment by Taruga personnel. Historical logging appears to be hand written and later scanned or digitally entered. Data entry procedures are unknown and historical data entry errors are possible.
Location of data points	• *Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.* • *Specification of the grid system used.* • *Quality and adequacy of topographic control.*	All data is in or converted to coordinate datum GDA94 Zone 50. Original data although often not clearly outlined in reporting was from GPS surveys in AGD/AMG zone 50 datum. Accuracy appears sufficient to have a reasonable chance of locating sites in the field with converted locations aligning to tracks and roads utilised for access.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Data spacing and distribution	• *Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.* • *Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.* • *Whether sample compositing has been applied.*	Historical drillhole and laterite/soil sampling spacing can be variable and is dependant of field access, traverses, and limited outcrop. The drillhole spacing is exploratory in nature utilising access tracks, and open ground and is insufficient to support a Mineral Resource estimation. Laterite and soil sampling is a guide only to potential mineral anomalism and is not appropriate to be considered for defining a Mineral Resource. No drill data geochemical sample compositing has been reported in this document.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	• *Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.* • *If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.*	Grids of variable dimensions have been utilised for laterite sampling and later infill sampling if carried out. Reconnaissance mineral sands drilling included short vertical holes variably spaced along tracks broadly across the main geology trend. The targeted quartz vein percussion drilling for potential gold was angled to best intercept the vein and geology as depicted in the section within this report.
Sample security	• *The measures taken to ensure sample security.*	The historical reporting does not indicate measures taken to ensure sample security.
Audits or reviews	• *The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.*	Historical reporting does not outline if any or what the outcomes may have been of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data extraction.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• *Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.* • *The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.*	Taruga Minerals Ltd has applied for three tenements in the Manjimup area. All tenements are 100% controlled by Taruga and were acquired as vacant ground. All tenements are in application stage, with correspondence and progress towards grant proceeding through the steps required. Tenements are E70/5029, 5030, 5031.
Exploration done by other parties	• *Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.*	There are 3 separate application tenements presented in this release. Each have undergone different levels of historical exploration. Historical geophysical surveys (aeromagnetic) and gravity surveys completed by government cover the tenements. A historical VTEM survey was conducted by BHP over E70/5030 however the resultant anomalies within the tenement were not followed up. A historical TEMPEST EM survey was conducted in the southern portion of the E70/5031 tenement application, presumably for exploration for heavy mineral sands. Exploration in the areas has targeted Tin/Tantalum/Lithium pegmatite mineralisation for which there is a historical working with E70/5029. Exploration in the area has also targeted VHMS, base metal and PGE mineralisation. Historical gold mining and prospecting has occurred adjacent to E70/5031 along strike to the north along the Darling Fault/Shear. Relevant WAMEX Exploration Reports include: WAMEX Identifier A49464 – BHP Gold Drilling, Donnybrook Project final report, A42704 – BHP Mineral Sands relinquishment report, A46405 & A50108 Yerraminup annual reporting,

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		A36447 & A38552 – Crooked Brook annual reporting, A18378 – Bauxite A14322 – Smithfield and Willow Springs
Geology	• *Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.*	The Manjimup project is considered prospective for tin/tantalum/lithium and base metal mineralisation including Cu-Ni-Co and PGE mineralisation. In addition, exploration on adjacent tenements has identified potential VHMS style mineralisation. There is potential for Tin/Tantalum/Lithium mineralisation associated with pegmatite veins. The Project is within the Balingup Metamorphic Belt with the western and central licenses including part of the highly prospective Donnybrook-Bridgetown shear zone that hosts the Greenbushes Li-Ta-Sn mine (Greenbushes), some 35km NE of the project tenements.

Drill hole Information	• *A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:*
	  ○ *easting and northing of the drill hole collar*
	  ○ *elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar*
	  ○ *dip and azimuth of the hole*
	  ○ *down hole length and interception depth*
	  ○ *hole length.*
	• *If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.*
		Historical drillhole information. Appropriate figures with available information are included in the announcement. Additional data is tabulated at the end of the announcement.
		The BHP quartz vein gold target drilling, holes DP40 and DP41, geology data only presented. Assay data excluded as not relevant to this announcement and gold assays show no significant gold results obtained.
		Mineral sand targeted geochemical results or heavy mineral extraction information available in historical reporting has not been included in this report as it does not relate to pegmatite or lithium pathfinder exploration assessments.

Data aggregation methods	• *In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.* • *Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.*	Historical data with various sampling intervals. Mineral sands drill sample assay intervals appear to be predominantly 3m composite data with 1m or interval based lithology records. No sample compositing or weighted average grade results are being reported in this report.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	• *The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.*	
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	• *These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.* • *If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.* • *If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').*	The announcement refers to historical records. Any reference made to thickness or dimension is limited to the accuracy of historical records and reporting. The geometry and true thickness of potential pegmatites is unknown and downhole lengths are stated. Surface and drillhole geochemical results guide potential future exploration with elements reported being a guide to mineral anomalism.
Diagrams	• *Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.*	Appropriate and relevant diagrams of location and historical records of surface features, interpretations and results are provided in the report.
Balanced reporting	• *Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.*	Taruga intends to continue a systematic exploration program to evaluate the indicators of pegmatite and mineral anomalism within the project areas once the exploration permits are granted.
Other substantive exploration data	• *Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.*	All relevant and meaningful recent exploration or known historical exploration data is included in this report, has been previously released or is otherwise publicly available. Geophysical data shown is state wide data available from GeoVIEW.WA, no BHP geophysics such as magnetics were included unless it has been combined by Government entities into the state wide data set.
Further work	• *The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).* • *Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.*	Potential future exploration programs consists of: Reconnaissance style exploration including detailed geological mapping, interpretation, and laterite sampling, focussed on known or interpreted pegmatite locations. Additional infill geochemical sampling and analysis over target areas. Geophysical interpretation and incorporation with new geochemical sampling results.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		Potential higher resolution geophysical surveys including magnetics and radiometrics over priority target areas.